MINUTES

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

1

The State Board of Elections Board Meeting was held on Wednesday, April 23, 2014. The meeting was held in the General Assembly Building, Room C in Richmond, Virginia. In attendance, representing the State Board of Elections (SBE) was Charles Judd, Chair; Kimberly Bowers, Vice Chair; Donald Palmer, Secretary; Edgardo Cortés, Deputy Secretary; Kristina Stoney, Senior Assistant Attorney General and SBE Counsel; Anna Birkenheier, Assistant Attorney General and SBE Counsel; Susan Lee, Elections Uniformity Manager; Chris Piper, Election Services Manager and Rose Mansfield,

Executive Assistant. Chairman Judd called the meeting to order at 10:00AM.

The first order of business was the approval of the Minutes from the State Board of Elections Board Meetings held on December 26 2013, February 28th, and March 8th of 2014. Chairman Judd stated that each set of Board Meeting Minutes would be addressed separately. Chairman Judd asked if Board Members had any additions or corrections to the December 26, 2013 Board Minutes and none were noted. Chairman Judd moved that the December 26, 2013 be approved as submitted. Vice Chair Bowers seconded the motion and the Board unanimously approved the motion. Chairman Judd asked if Board Members had any additions or corrections to the March 19, 2014 Board Minutes. Ms. Stoney noted a transcription error in the document. Vice Chair Bowers moved that the March 19, 2014 Board Meeting Minutes be amended. Chairman Judd seconded the motion and the Board approved the amendment. Chairman Judd moved that the Board Minutes from March 19, 2014 be approved as amended. Secretary Palmer seconded the motion and the Board unanimously approved the Minutes. Chairman Judd asked if there was any discussion and with none the Board unanimously approved the Minutes. Chairman Judd asked if Board Members had any additions or corrections to the April 2, 2014 Board Minutes. Ms. Stoney noted an error in the legal report regarding the date recorded and that the date be changed from May 19, 2014 to May 9, 2014. Chairman Judd moved that the Minutes of the April 2, 2014 Board Meeting be amended. Vice Chair Bowers seconded the motion and the Board unanimously approved the motion. Secretary Palmer moved that the April 2, 2014 Board Minutes be approved as amended. Vice Chair

Bowers seconded the motion and the Board unanimously approved the motion.

The second order of business was the Secretary's Report delivered by Secretary Palmer. Secretary Palmer stated that the voter identification implementation webinar will be held on April 29, 2014 and regional training will be held May 12-23, 2014. The annual workshop training will be conducted July 21-22, 2014. Secretary Palmer stated that the voter identification regulation posted to Regulatory Town Hall on April 21, 2014 will be available for public input until May 12, 2014. Secretary Palmer expressed condolences to the family of Mr. Jim Richardson who passed on April 12, 2014. Mr. Richardson devoted his life to his family and to serving the elections community. Mr. Richardson was a military veteran and is the father of SBE employee, Terry Wagoner, Absentee Ballot Coordinator.

Secretary Palmer announced that the General Assembly passed and Governor McAuliffe signed SB 11, the electronic ballot return bill for overseas military voters. This is the culmination of a three year legislative effort. The companion bills, HB 759 and SB 11, mark only the beginning of vital work to be done on the technical details necessary to make secure electronic return a reality for our deployed military voters. The legislation requires the formation of a working group, to include the chief information officer of the commonwealth, the chief information security officer of the commonwealth, and local election officials, to assist with the development of security measures and procedures of the program. SBE and the working group will have the rest of 2014 and part of 2015 to develop the electronic security measures, procedures, forms and regulations necessary for our report to the General Assembly and implementation. The legislation and the Board's current regulations provide authority to the agency to appoint an advisory panel and working group where specific expertise is required. Now that the Governor has signed the bills, we plan to proceed with making appointments and will publish a notice on the website.

Secretary Palmer stated that the PEW Charitable Trust released a voting performance index and Virginia continues to receive high marks in election administration and is listed above the national average. Secretary Palmer noted that after the June, 2014 primary SBE will send a list maintenance mailing to voters asking them to verify their change of address to rule out duplicate registrations. Vice Chair Bowers inquired how often other states pull data from ERIC. Secretary Palmer stated that SBE

Virginia's data is uploaded to ERIC every 60 days and SBE receives a report every 60 days. Vice Chair Bowers asked: "If a voter moves out-of-state is it the responsibility of the voter's new residence (state) to contact us or do we contact them?" Secretary Palmer replied: "Most states ask: "where was the last place they were registered to vote." That state is supposed to let the previous state of registration know that an updated registration has occurred. SBE has identified scenarios' where that process does not work and SBE discovered the errors or failure of states to provide this information during the sharing of registration data between states." Secretary Palmer asked if there were any additional questions and there were none.

The next order of business was the Legal Report delivered by Kristina Perry Stoney, Senior Assistant Attorney General and SBE Counsel. Ms. Stoney requested an executive session to discuss pending litigation. Ms. Stoney asked if the current Board Members would like to continue to receive letters of assurance related to regulations. Ms. Stoney noted that this is a routine function of the attorney generals' office. Chairman Judd moved that the attorney general's office provide letters of assurance regarding regulations to SBE. Vice Chair Bowers seconded the motion and the Board unanimously approved the motion.

The next order of business was the presentation of the resolution honoring the work of Elwood Yates, Jr. retiring Secretary of the Powhatan County Electoral Board. The Board presented the resolution to Mr. Yates and each Board Member extended their sincere thanks for his 60 years of service to the election community. Mr. Yates thanked SBE for the years of support and noted that the years went by quickly because of the wonderful individuals he was associated with in the election community.

The next order of business was the State Certification Guidelines presented by Gary Fox, SBE Voting Technology Specialist. Mr. Fox stated that the original packet was submitted to Board Members on February 26, 2014 for Board approval. Mr. Fox stated that the changes reflect current technologies and updates and provides SBE with the ability to test equipment for the capability of conducting a recount. The changes include the agency's name change that is effective July 1, 2014. Chairman Judd stated that the document was absent of the mention of global pricing and service statewide. Chairman Judd stated that it is important to have global pricing so no matter the size of the locality;

all localities have access to same equipment, at the same price, with the same level of service. Secretary Palmer stated that *Virginia Code* Sections §§ 24.2-628 & 629 are the mechanisms for voting equipment certification within the commonwealth and the changes suggested should fall within the parameters of the *Code*. Secretary Palmer stated that SBE has worked with the vendors to participate in global pricing. Secretary Palmer stated that you cannot tie pricing to the certification of equipment. Chairman Judd stated: "I am not suggesting that the vendor price the equipment before SBE certifies the equipment, I am saying that the vendor should agree to global pricing. I am just looking out for the localities." Vice Chair Bowers stated that there was a concern about the legality of adding global pricing to the requirements of the certification of voting equipment in Virginia. Secretary Palmer stated the proposed amendment to the Requirements and Procedures is directing the Board to review pricing when the localities can contact SBE to receive information on the pricing of equipment. Secretary Palmer stated that this proposed amendment is an attempt to set policy based on current efforts of the agency.

Chairman Judd moved that the State Certification of Voting Systems: Requirements and Procedures, Step 7, should read: "Based on the information contained in the report from the evaluation agent, the test election, and any other information in their possession, the State Board of Elections will determine whether the proposed voting system will be certified for use in the Commonwealth of Virginia and notify the vendor of the decision. In addition the State Board of Elections will solicit a state-wide contract from any vendor for voting systems certified for use in the Commonwealth of Virginia." Vice Chair Bowers stated that the motion should use the new agency name: "Department of Elections". Chairman Judd stated the name change would be reflected in the motion. Vice Chair Bowers seconded the motion to include the amendment of the name change. Chairman Judd asked if there were any public comments on the motion.

Clara Bell Wheeler, Chairman of the Albemarle County Electoral Board approached the podium. Ms. Wheeler stated that the areas she represents were considered small localities and would like to thank the chairman for establishing global pricing. It is extremely important that the small localities are able to purchase equipment at the same

price as the large localities and I thank you for putting in global pricing. Chairman Judd asked if there were additional comments.

Robin Lind, Secretary of the Goochland County Electoral Board approached the podium. Mr. Lind stated that there is appreciation for the chairman consistently trying to bring this issue to the forefront. Mr. Lind stated that he believed that the *Code* supported the actions of the Board Members. Chairman Judd asked if there were additional public comment and there were none. Chairman Judd asked if there were additional comments from Board Members and there were none. The Board unanimously approved the amended motion. Vice Chair Bowers moved *that the Board approve the changes to the State Certification of Voting Systems: Requirements and Procedures, as recommended by SBE Staff.* Secretary Palmer seconded the motion and Chairman Judd asked if there were any questions and there was none. The Board unanimously approved the motion.

The next order of business was the request for temporary full-time status for the General Registrar of Richmond County, Virginia presented by Edgardo Cortés, Deputy Secretary. Deputy Cortés informed the Board Members that the Electoral Board submitted the required request in a timely manner. Deputy Cortés stated that the request is authorized under Chapter 890, 2012 Acts of Assembly and recommended approval of the submitted request for the period of May 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014. Vice Chair Bowers moved that the Board approve the request from the Electoral Board of the Richmond County for a temporary full-time general registrar for the period of May 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014. Secretary Palmer seconded the motion and Chairman Judd asked if there were any questions. Vice Chair Bowers stated that there are 17 localities in the commonwealth whose general registrars' are part-time employees with full-time responsibilities and the General Assembly should look at resolving this problem by supporting funding of the general registrars. Chairman Judd stated that he echoed the Vice Chair sentiments. The voters of the commonwealth should have full-time access to the general registrars' in the locality in which they reside. Chairman Judd asked if there were additional comments.

Robin Lind, Secretary of the Goochland County Electoral Board approached podium. Mr. Lind expressed that a general registrar expressed distress over the workload imposed on general registrars who serve on a part-time basis and whose electoral board

would not request funding due to budget constraints imposed by their county management. Mr. Lind stated that VEBA would support the conversation of permanently changing all general registrars' status to full-time employees. Chairman Judd asked if there were additional comments. Therese Martin, League of Women Voters approached the podium. Ms. Martin stated that the league is supportive of changing the status of all part-time general registrars' to full-time status. Chairman Judd asked if there were additional comments. Rene Andrews, Chairman of the Electoral Board of Falls Church City approached the podium. Ms. Andrews stated that any locality that has an election needs to be available to the voters 45 days prior to the election. In the time period prior to the election, the general registrar's will need to apply for full-time status. Chairman Judd asked if there were additional comments and there were none. The Board unanimously approved the motion.

The next order of business was the request for temporary full-time status for the General Registrar of Mathews County, Virginia presented by Edgardo Cortés, Deputy Secretary. Deputy Cortés informed the Board Members that the Electoral Board submitted the required request in a timely manner. Deputy Cortés stated that the request is authorized under Chapter 890, 2012 Acts of Assembly and recommended approval of the submitted request for the period of April 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014. Secretary Palmer moved that the Board approve the request from the Electoral Board of the Mathews County for a temporary full-time general registrar for the period of April 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014. Vice Chair Bowers seconded the motion and Chairman Judd asked if there were any questions and there were none. The Board unanimously approved the motion.

The next order of business was the Voter Photo Identification Card Application Approval presented by Myron McClees, SBE Policy Analyst. Mr. McClees stated the proposed form currently being presented before the Board is offered in anticipation of the upcoming implementation date. During the February 26, 2014 Board Meeting, Board Members voted to allow a 21 day comment period on the original version of the form, which ended on March 24, 2014. Mr. McClees stated that over 200 comments were received on the original version of the form and the new form reflects those changes. Mr. McClees stated that in consultation with the attorney generals' office the affirmation

statement in section six was changed. Mr. McClees noted that the document size had changed to mirror the voter registration card. The felony warning was removed from the form and the Privacy Act Notice was added to inform voters how information will be used and protected.

Vice Chair Bowers stated she was pleased to read the comments and the amounts of comments lets SBE know that the election community is active regarding this issue. The removal of the felony warning was pleasing as SBE does not want to create additional intimidation to voters who have forgotten where their identification is located. Secretary Palmer suggested that the Board modify section six to read: "I swear/affirm that the information provided on this form is true by adding "to the best of my knowledge" I do not have any other form of identification" to provide additional notice to the voter. Vice Chair Bowers posed the question "Why does that matter since they are using this identification to vote? When the voter says "To the best of my knowledge" this is given the right of the voter to obtain a voter identification card that was mandated by the state." Chairman Judd stated: "To say "I swear/affirm that the information provided on this form is true." This is addressing only the information written on the form." Secretary Palmer stated that this identification is not mandated to vote only that it is an acceptable form of identification if the voter does not have another valid form of identification. Secretary Palmer stated: "I do not believe that asking a voter to swear or affirm that the information provided is correct is intimidating because voter have to swear or affirm to get an absentee ballot or register to vote." Vice Chair Bowers stated: "I understand this and the photo identification is required to vote and I am not sure why you would want to add additional layers if during the next step of voting you are affirming who you say you are." Chairman Judd stated: "We are talking about a small number of individuals as a valid driver's license covers about 95% of voters in the commonwealth with the other numerous forms of valid identification cards accepted at the polls." Secretary Palmer stated: "I would like to thank the SBE staff for their work in going through the comments and referring to the regulations and working with the workgroup." Secretary Palmer moved that the Board adopt the staff recommendation proposed Voter Photo Identification Card Application. Vice Chair Bowers seconded the motion and Chairman Judd asked if there were any questions. Robin Lind, Secretary of the Goochland County

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

Electoral Board approached the podium. Mr. Lind suggested that in section six the top line be changed to read: "..., and may only be issued to registered voters that do not "to read: "and may only be issued to registered voters who do not" as a matter of grammatical correctness. Secretary Palmer moved that the Board adopt the proposed Voter identification Card Application and removing the word "that" and replacing it with the word "who" in section six of the form. Vice Chair Bowers seconded the motion and Board unanimously accepted the amended motion. Chairman Judd asked if there were additional comments on the motion to approve the form. Theresa Martin, Chairman of the League of Women Voters approached the podium. Ms. Martin stated she was thankful to the SBE staff for taking in account the many comments received and incorporating them into the new voter identification form. Chairman Judd asked if there were additional comments and there were none. The Board unanimously approved the motion.

The next order of business was the Campaign Finance Express Advocacy definition presented by Chris Piper, Election Services Manager, Mr. Piper stated that the staff has worked with the Office of the Attorney General as well as the Division of Legislative Services to draft a definition of "express advocacy". Mr. Piper stated that the Board's concern with the current definition is that it proved to be too broad in its application and did not consider intent. The new definition does not attempt to define intent, but rather states that any advertisements which refer to a candidate and their office as well as the election date should be deemed to expressly advocating the election or defeat of the candidate while maintaining the "magic words" standard used in the current definition. The proposed definition would read: "Express advocacy means a direct or indirect contribution, in-kind contribution, independent expenditure or loan made to a candidate or political committee for the purpose of influencing the outcome of an election; and advertisement which refers to a party or candidate(s) by name which references the date of election or nominating event for the office sought by the candidate or uses words or phrases which specifically urge support or opposition of a clearly identified candidate, such as: "Vote for..."; "Support"; "Elect..."; "Smith for Congress"; "Send Him Home"; "Oppose". Advertisements which indicate the name of the candidate and the office for which they are seeking shall also be determined to be expressly advocating the election or defeat of a candidate. Chairman Judd asked: "Do you think

this covers all situations that may indicate advocacy." Mr. Piper replied: "I feel very strongly that the proposed definition meets the desires of the Boards' request." Secretary Palmer asked how this definition supports the law. Mr. Piper stated that the old law stated "for the purpose of influencing an election" and in 1998 the definition was challenged and the ruling referred to "express advocacy" using this definition which refers back to federal law and the use of those "magic words". In 2006, the phrase was changed to clarify the "express advocacy" phrase and the proposed definition tightens the parameters to reduce vagueness. Secretary Palmer stated that the definition is becoming broader because SBE is adding a sentence that reads: "...the name of the candidate and the office for which they are seeking shall also be determined to be expressly advocating the election or defeat of a candidate." Secretary Palmer asked if the definition would become broader by adding this statement. Mr. Piper directed the questions to counsel. Ms. Stoney stated that during the discussion of developing the language of "express advocacy" that is going out for public opinion for 21 days SBE was understanding that the proposed regulation was within the confines of the of the case that set precedent. Ms. Stoney stated that the attorney general's office would verify this during the regulatory review. Secretary Palmer moved that the proposed regulation on the definition of "Express Advocacy" be placed on the SBE website for public comment for a period of 21 days. Vice Chair Bowers seconded the motion and Chairman Judd asked if there were any comments and there were none. The Board unanimously approved the motion.

The next order of business was the Campaign Finance Summary Updates-Approval to change reporting deadline presented by Chris Piper, Election Services Manager. Mr. Piper stated that the changes were posted for public comment. The change of 5:00pm to 11:59pm for the campaign finance reporting deadline will apply to all Summaries on the Laws and Policies of the Campaign Finance Disclosure Act of 2006. The comments have been submitted to the Board for review and are overwhelmingly in support of the proposed change. Of the 61 comments submitted, 15 opposed the proposed changes while 46 supported the changes. Staff recommends Board approval to change the deadline from 5:00pm to 11:59pm. Chairman Judd moved that the Board approve the change from 5:00pm to 11:59pm for the campaign finance reporting deadline and apply this change to all Summaries on the Laws and Policies of the Campaign Finance

Disclosure Act of 2006. Vice Chair Bowers seconded the motion and Chairman Judd asked if there were comments.

Bill Wilson, Treasurer of the Virginia Public Access Project (VPAP), approached the podium. Mr. Wilson stated VPAP respectfully requests that the Board maintain the 5:00pm deadline and maintain the spirit of the policy. Chairman Judd asked if there were any other comments. Craig Carper, WCVE Public Radio reporter approached the podium. Mr. Carper stated that the Virginia Capitol Correspondents Association would like to express our concern with the proposed change to the SBE filing deadline. While the change makes it easier for those who file, it makes it more difficult for reporters to cover campaigns in a timely way. The current 5:00pm deadline allows print reporters to publish data in the next morning's paper. The proposed change to 11:59pm would effectively mean a 24 hour delay in distributing this information to the public in print publications. VPAP ask that you consider keeping the current 5:00pm deadline in place, both for the benefit of those who cover campaigns, but more importantly to better provide valuable and timely information to the public. Chairman Judd asked if there were any other comments. Ginger Stanley, Executive Director of the Virginia Press Association approached the podium. Ms. Stanley stated that the association is strongly opposed to the change in the deadline and believe that it would remove the public "right to know" and move the time out of the typical close of business time. Ms. Stanley stated that the numbers would be reported on their website however, delaying the reporting means that the impact on the print media would be negative to the media and to the public. Chairman Judd asked if there were any other comments and there were none. The Board unanimously passed the motion.

The next order of business was the Campaign Finance Yard Sign Proposed Policy presented by Chris Piper, Election Services Manager. Mr. Piper stated that the staff requests that the proposed definition of a yard sign be placed on the SBE website for public comment for a period of 21 days. Mr. Piper stated that there is no definition of "yard sign" in the *Code of Virginia* or in the Boards' policies. The law governing political advertisement disclosure is found in § 24.2-955. There has been some confusion as to whether a disclosure is required on signs placed on someone's private property. The proposed definition will make it clear that a disclosure is required on all advertisements

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

paid for or distributed by a candidate or political party. Chairman Judd asked if a homeowner has a homemade sign and wants to place it in their yard would there be a need for a disclaimer. Mr. Piper stated that policy specially places the ownership on the candidate or political party. Therefore, an individual who does not meet the independent expenditure threshold can place a sign in their yard without the disclaimer. Vice Chair Bowers moved that the proposed regulation on the definition of "Yard Sign" be placed on the SBE website for public comment. Secretary Palmer seconded the motion and Chairman Judd asked if there were any comments and there were none. The Board unanimously passed the motion.

The next order of business was the Stand by Your Ad Violations presented by Chris Piper, Election Services Manager. Mr. Piper stated that the first consideration was from the campaign of Bill Colgate. Mr. Piper stated that on or about March 10, 2014, the Chesterfield County General Registrar received a complaint concerning a flyer distributed on behalf of Bill Colgate, but did not contain the disclosure statement required by § 24.2-956. Mr. Piper stated that the copy of the flyer provided to Board Members does not include the required disclosure statement. Staff recommendation is to assess a \$100.00 penalty. Chairman Judd asked if there was a representative from the campaign and there was not. Secretary Palmer moved that the Board access a civil penalty of \$100.00 per staff recommendation. Vice Chair Bowers seconded the motion and Chairman Judd asked if there were any comments and there were none. The Board unanimously passed the motion.

The next Stand by your Ad Violation was from the campaign of Bob Olson. Mr. Piper stated that on or about March 10, 2014, the Chesterfield County General Registrar received a complaint concerning a flyer distributed on behalf of Bob Olsen for Midlothian School Board that did not contain the disclosure statement required by § 24.2-956. Mr. Piper stated that the copy of the flyer provided to Board Members does not include the required disclosure statement. Staff recommendation is to assess a \$100.00 penalty. Chairman Judd asked if there was a representative from the campaign. Bob Olson, candidate, approached the podium. Mr. Olsen apologized to the Board and stated that the error was an oversight on his part and when notified by Mr. Haake of the oversight there was an immediate correction. Chairman Judd asked what the time frame

was between notification and correction. Mr. Olsen stated that it was only a couple of hours. Vice Chair Bowers moved *that the Board waive the civil penalty assessed against Mr. Olsen*. Secretary Palmer seconded the motion and Chairman Judd asked if there were any other comments and there were none. The Board unanimously approved the motion.

The next Stand by your Ad Violation was from the campaign of Dianne Mallory-Coble. Mr. Piper stated that on or about March 10, 2014, the Chesterfield County General Registrar received a complaint concerning a flyer distributed by Dianne Mallory-Coble that did not contain the disclosure statement required by § 24.2-956. Chairman Judd asked if there was a representative from the campaign and there was not. Secretary Palmer stated that the letter from the campaign states that the campaign notified the general registrar of the error and tried to stop the flyer to make corrections. Vice Chair Bowers moved that the penalty be waived because the correction to the flyer was made immediately after discovering the error. Secretary Palmer seconded the motion and Chairman Judd asked if there were any public comments and there were none. The Board unanimously approved the motion.

The next order of business was the Periodic Review of Chapters 40 & 70 presented by Martha Brissette, SBE Policy Analyst. Ms. Brissette stated that these two regulations lay the ground work for the absentee voting precinct. SBE placed these regulations out on Town Hall for public comment and none were received. Ms. Brissette stated that the attorney general's office will be reviewing these regulations before they are sent out for publication. Chairman Judd asked about Section 1B that asked: "..., do you wish to cancel your registration in that county, city, or state and register and establish residence in this county or city in Virginia? What if the voter says "no" to this question?" Ms. Brissette stated that this section of the regulation was not the section of the regulation currently under review. Chairman Judd asked:"What happens?" Ms. Brissette stated that these are supplemental questions and depending on how the voter answers guides the general registrar. Chairman Judd inquired why numerous sections of this regulation are stricken. Ms. Brissette stated that these are the sections of the regulation that deal with the military overseas voting which will be part of Chapter 45. Ms. Stoney, SBE Counsel, stated that the regulations have been reviewed by the attorney general's office and the office will provide letters of assurance on these regulations. Vice Chair Bowers moved

that the Board approve the proposed amendments to the Chapter 40 of its regulations on voter registration. Secretary Palmer seconded the motion and Chairman Judd asked if there were any comments and there were none. The Board unanimously approved the motion.

The next regulation to be considered by the Board was Chapter 70, Absentee Voting presented by Martha Brissette, SBE Policy Analyst. Ms. Brissette stated that numerous sections of this regulation will be moved into Chapter 4.1 that deals with absentee and overseas voter and to make a change in the definition section relating to those two areas. Chairman Judd stated: "In two previous public meetings we have discussed material omissions and what is not a material omission and I would like to see some public comment on these." Ms. Brissette stated that the changes presented today encompass the structural changes to the regulations and the content changes will be addressed at a later meeting. Chairman Judd moved that the proposed changes to Chapter 70 be tabled until we address it with the other regulations. Vice Chair Bowers seconded the motion and the Board unanimously approved the motion.

Martha Brissette, SBE Policy Analyst, informed the Board that the suggestion to retract the approval of Chapter 40 would be suggested as the chapters are interwoven. Ms. Stoney, SBE Counsel, stated that it is recommended to table the three regulations to avoid duplications of languages and the regulations can be revised simultaneously. Chairman Judd, having voted on the prevailing side, moved *that the Board Members reconsider the motion to pass the proposed changes to Chapter 70.* Secretary Palmer seconded the motion and Board unanimously approved the motion. Chairman Judd moved *that the Board table Chapter 40.* Vice Chair Bowers seconded the motion and the Board unanimously approved the motion. Chairman Judd moved *that the creation of Chapter 45 be tabled.* Vice Chair Bowers seconded the motion and the Board unanimously passed the motion.

Chairman Judd asked if there was any other business to come before the Board. Reagan George, Virginia Voters Alliance approached the podium. Mr. George stated that the: "Virginia Voters Alliance was started in 2009 to educate the public about voter fraud and the need to improve our election laws and processes in the Commonwealth of Virginia. We have established a working relationship with Fairfax County Election Board

to recruit election officials as well as recruit, train, and deploy poll watchers to observe election activities. We also established a working relationship with True the Vote in Houston to research where multiple voters are listed at the same address. We have been successful in Fairfax County where our challenges resulted in 70% of voter registration being removed from the VERIS system. Virginia Voters Alliance was instrumental in working with members of both Privileges and Elections committees in the General Assembly to pass the current photo identification bill into law. We are planning to follow-up this project with the same type of examination of the District of Columbia, Pennsylvania, New York, new Jersey, Delaware, North Carolina, Tennessee, West Virginia, and Georgia. Virginia Voters Alliance is also investigating how to identify voters that are registered and vote in Virginia but live in the states that surround us. We are also determining the best way to identify non-citizens who have registered to vote and may have voted in past elections. The problems and improvements needed are; (i) Close the gap in the voter id law so that absentee voters must positively identify themselves with a copy of their photo identification when using mailed ballots.,(ii) There are 50,000 plus patient beds in Virginia in Nursing homes and rehab centers. Voter fraud is rampant in these locations. We sent out 1500 letters to administrators informing them of vote harvesting process that take place in their facilities. We got four responses. Administrators must be held accountable for voter fraud that occurs in their facilities. Poll watchers from each party must be required to be present when patients are involved with election and voting processes.,(iii) Certain non-violent felons, especially those that commit voter fraud, should never be given back their right to vote. Felons that are not allowed to vote should be audited annually by SBE., (iv) Virginia must control the registration process as well as the form used to register voters. The current system is the perfect vehicle for identity theft and "lost" registrations. Forms must have control numbers that are issued to voter registration drive organizers. Receipts must be given to registrants. Fines should be imposed if unused forms are not returned to the local election board. Social Security numbers and birth dates should be entered via phone or internet to activate the voter's registration. (v) The process to control duplicate voters moving between states is broken and full of gaps. ERIC and the Interstate Crosschecks are helpful but reactive. There needs to be feedback between registrars and the new registration is

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

only active when the prior registration is purged.,(vi) Virginia should require proof of citizenship to register to vote with both the state form and federal post card. To assist in that effort the DMV should keep citizenship status on all drivers and their status should be present on all drivers' licenses. The SBE and DMV should reconcile voter registrations with citizenship status annually. Long term the federal real identification law should be amended to include citizenship status which should be made available to the state's DMV.,(vii) There needs to be a clearing house for all voter fraud referrals statewide. The SBE would be the perfect place to assume that role and provide transparency for the public concerning this issue., and (viii) SBE should also develop key performance indicators requiring the local registrars to report their work backlog, inprocess and completed activities monthly. These statistics should also be made available to the public. In conclusion, the Brennen Center talking points are patently false. The statement that "voter fraud does not exist because there are no convictions" just points out that our laws are ineffective and full of gaps. The lack of convictions shows us that voter fraud is hard to prevent and hard to prove with existing poorly written laws, poor systems processes, and lax prosecutions. The statement that "voter fraud does not warrant the expenditures to prevent it because it is such a small percentage of the overall vote". What percentage of the dollars in your checking account at the bank would you be comfortable losing every month? I don't want my bank to be robbed before they take precautions to safeguard my money. The state should be just as proactive with my vote; it is just as precious to me as my checking account. Voter fraud does not require convictions to exist. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak to you about this important subject. Chairman Judd asked if there were further comments.

Jay DeLancy, Executive Director of the Voter Integrity Project of North Carolina approached the podium. Mr. DeLancy stated that on April 4, 2014 their state elections chair released information about a program that Virginia is participating in as well called the interstate crosscheck program. In North Carolina the chair found 675 voters with the exact first name, last name, date of birth, and last four of their social security number. These people either all committed fraud or were victims of identity theft. The leadership of North Carolina would like to see the release of Virginia's data found in the interstate crosscheck program. Chairman Judd asked if there were further comments.

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

Clara Bell Wheeler, Albemarle County Electoral Board Chair approached the podium. Ms. Wheeler thanked the members of the SBE Board and Staff who worked diligently to get Virginia into the Kansas Interstate Crosscheck project. Please take the data and do something with it. Ms. Wheeler stated: "When a registrar in the commonwealth has the audacity to say to SBE and his electoral board that he is not going to pay any attention to the crosscheck system and that they are not going to pay attention to dual registration. I think that person should be removed from office because they are not adhering to the *Code of Virginia* and they are not caring what the *code* says for registration and they are not listening to what SBE has provided them in terms of information. If we are going to have the crosscheck we need to use it. Voter Fraud is real. What separates us from other countries is that we should have free, fair, and legal elections. We need to check identification and teach our election officers in a standardized method of behavior for elections. Thank you for putting us in crosscheck and I know that you will implement it fairly."

Secretary Palmer stated that SBE has been transparent about the results that SBE has received and, in 2014, Virginia has over 100,000 matches of duplicate registrations with other states based off of name, date of birth, and social security number. The agency, the Board, and the Code of Virginia has determined that we are going to take action and, in this federal election year, we are going to send mailers to all these individuals out-of-state to have them respond and remove themselves from the voter rolls. Virginia produced a report in January, 2014 and we will have a report to the General Assembly in August, 2014. This cross-state data is helpful in identifying the moving of voters and SBE wants to ensure that our rolls are clean. Secretary Palmer stated that SBE will educate the public on the responsibility of notifying their voting locality when they relocate. Secretary Palmer stated that he encourages that all the advocacy groups make it known to voters that it is important to update their information when they move. Chairman Judd asked if there were additional items to come before the Board or the Good of the Order. Ms. Stoney stated that an executive session was necessary to discuss pending litigation. Chairman Judd stated that this would not be possible at today's meeting.

496	Chairman Judd moved that the Board adjourn. Vice Chair Bowers seconded the
497	motion and without further comment the Board voted to adjourn. The meeting was
498	adjourned at approximately 12:35PM.
499	The Board shall reconvene on May 14, 2014 at 9:00AM in the General Assembly
500	Building, Room C.
501	
502	
503	
504	Secretary
505	
506	
507	
508	Chair
509	
510	
511	
512	Vice Chair
513	
514	